# Non-Gaussianity in CMB Polarization by extending the Minkowski Functionals framework

### Javier Carrón Duque

javier.carron@roma2.infn.it



A Cosmic Window to Fundamental Physics: Primordial Non-Gaussianity and Beyond September 2022 – IFT, Madrid, Spain

In collaboration with:

- Alessandro Carones
- Domenico Marinucci
- Marina Migliaccio
- Nicola Vittorio

## We produced a Cosmic Filaments catalogue

- Publicly available: www.javiercarron.com/catalogue
- 0.05 < z < 2.2
- Promising results in different topics

### A novel cosmic filament catalogue from SDSS data\*

Javier Carrón Duque<sup>1,2</sup>, Marina Migliaccio<sup>1,2</sup>, Domenico Marinucci<sup>3</sup>, and Nicola Vittorio<sup>1,2</sup>





### •0000000000 MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it



### Outline

- Introduction
- Minkowski Functionals on CMB polarization
- Other applications of Minkowski Functionals
- Conclusions

MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque javier.carror

### 8 polarization ki Functionals

javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

### **Gaussian fields are easy to describe**

• Gaussian  $\rightarrow$  Physical process fully described by 2pt correlation function



- Blind to non—Gaussianity
- Other tools: 3/4 pt correlation function (bi/tri-spectrum), extrema statistics, Minkowski Functionals

0000000 MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it



## **Minkowski Functionals are higher order statistics**

- We consider a field (*e.g.*, *T*)
- Let u be a threshold (e.g.,  $2\sigma$ )
- We define the **excursion set** A(u) as the regions of the field above u
- Minkowski Functionals are:
  - $\circ$  V<sub>0</sub> : area of A(u)
  - $\circ$  V<sub>1</sub> : boundary length of A(u)
  - $\circ$  V<sub>2</sub> : Euler–Poincaré characteristic of A(u) (#regions – #holes)

0000 MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it





### Minkowski Functionals are sensitive to non–Gaussianity

• For isotropic Gaussian fields, the expectation is known:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_i(u)\right] \sim f_1(u) \cdot f_2(\mathbb{S}^2)$$
Threshold Ambient
manifold

• Any deviation is due to non—Gaussianity and/or anisotropy

000000 MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

 $\cdot f_3(\mu)$ 

Characteristics of the map (angular power spectrum)

## Minkowski Functionals are sensitive to non–Gaussianity

• For isotropic Gaussian fields, the expectation is known:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_i(u)\right] \sim f_1(u) \cdot f_2(\mathbb{S}^2)$$
Threshold Ambient
manifold

- Any deviation is due to non—Gaussianity and/or anisotropy
- Early Universe (e.g., T): test for primordial non–Gaussianity
- Late Universe (e.g.,  $\kappa$ ): extract more cosmological information

0000 MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

 $\cdot f_3(\mu)$ Characteristics of the map

(angular power spectrum)

### We extend MFs to modulus of polarization P<sup>2</sup>

- Paper coming soon! • We generalize the theoretical formula for  $P^2 = Q^2 + U^2$ 
  - Excellent compatibility between theory and Gaussian simulations
  - Planck data in agreement with realistic simulations (with anisotropic noise)



Javier Carrón Duque



javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

### We extend MFs to modulus of polarization P<sup>2</sup>

- Paper coming soon! • We generalize the theoretical formula for  $P^2 = Q^2 + U^2$ 
  - Excellent compatibility between theory and Gaussian simulations
  - Planck data in agreement with realistic simulations (with anisotropic noise)



MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque



javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

### And we extend MFs to full polarization $P(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$

- Full polarization information in  $f(\phi,\theta,\psi) = Q(\phi,\theta)\cos(2\psi) U(\phi,\theta)\sin(2\psi)$
- We obtain the theoretical prediction for the MFs
- Simulations fully compatible with theory



Javier Carrón Duque



javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

Š

۵V٥

 $\sim^{2}$ 

 $\Delta V_2$ 

### We explore the non—Gaussianity of Galactic dust

- Galactic dust is intrinsically non—Gaussian and anisotropic
- Good realistic simulations should include non—Gaussianities from realistic foreground  $\bullet$
- We use MFs to compare several methods used to simulate polarized dust emission



Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it



### w/ Giuseppe **Puglisi**

### MFs can be applied to the CMB power asymmetry

- Typically: variance + Gaussianity  $\Rightarrow$  theoretical MFs
- But also: measured MFs + Gaussianity  $\Rightarrow$  variance
- Stay tuned for results



Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

### w/ Giacomo Galloni

## We develop Pynkowski as a Python package

- Pynkowski is fully documented and modular
- Theory module: computes the theoretical prediction of different kinds of fields
- Data module: computes the MFs on different kinds of data structures
- Both modules are easy to expand

## https://github.com/javicarron/pynkowski

Now available!

MFs in CMB polarization

Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it



### **Takeaway points**

- Minkowski Functionals are useful tools to study non—Gaussianity
- It has many applications in both Early and Late Universe
- We created Pynkowski to ease the application of MFs to the community



Javier Carrón Duque

javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

### **Takeaway points**

- Minkowski Functionals are useful tools to study non—Gaussianity
- It has many applications in both Early and Late Universe
- We created Pynkowski to ease the application of MFs to the community





Javier Carrón Duque javier.carron@roma2.infn.it

## **Backup images**







		$\chi^2$	$p_{exc}$ (%)	$\sigma$
$V_0$	SMICA	1.074	30.7	0.37
	SEVEM	0.885	74.0	-0.70
$V_1$	SMICA	1.135	19.7	0.72
	SEVEM	1.022	43.7	0.09
$V_2$	SMICA	1.051	39.3	0.27
	SEVEM	1.263	0.09	1.55



j  $\mathbb{E}\left[V_j(A(u))\right] \propto \sum \rho_k(u) V_{j-k}(\mathbb{S}^2) \mu^{k/2}$ k=0Ambient Threshold manifold

Characteristics of the map (angular power spectrum)

 $\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V_0(A_u)\right]}{4\pi} = 1 - \Phi(u)$  $\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V_1(A_u)\right]}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{8} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right) \mu^{1/2}$  $\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V_2(A_u)\right]}{4\pi} = \frac{2\mu}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3}} \exp(-\frac{u^2}{2})$ 

 $\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V_0(A_u)\right]}{4\pi} = \exp(-u/2)$  $\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V_1(A_u)\right]}{4\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{8}\sqrt{\mu u}\exp\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right)$  $\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V_2(A_u)\right]}{4\pi} = \mu \frac{(u-1)\exp(-u/2)}{2\pi}$